

The Shadow Culture

Why engineering principles fail under load

Manogna Machiraju

New role. New company.

You want to build something. Of course both. It's always both.

WHAT I HEARD

The code is archaic. Pipelines broken.
Security is hardly a thought

Delivery pressure, Product Fn doesn't
care about Platform.

WHAT I INFERRED

*Engineering was always catching up
and busy executing*

*No shared principles. Everyone
optimising for different aspects.*

People had intentions to improve!

The Why, The What & The How

The Why

Engineering as
equal partner
agreed not just
stated

The What

The Principles
built with
people in the
trenches

The How

Tech Strategy.
Get the right
skills &
autonomy.

Fix all three, and the culture follows.

Everyone agreed. Nothing changed.

Hoped to See

Engineering referencing to Principles in Decisions

Behaviours changing, Incidents Reducing and Platform Quality Improving, TTM shrinks by 10x :)

WHAT WAS ACTUALLY HAPPENING

Understood but not using them

Same Incidents, Same Shortcuts & Same Tech Debt, Same Concerns from Product

But Why?

Maybe the teams don't care.

Maybe it's not translating.

Maybe I am just an ineffective leader.

Quest begins. With ONE Focus. ONE Intent

They were quietly building a Culture

Shadow Culture naturally emerges in the environment we operate in.

A Failure of System Design.

Not a lack of Belief. Not a lack of Competence.

Right principles is only half the job

A principle told what to value.

But they didn't answer 'by doing what?'

Specific Behaviours

Stress test them

Culture is a Product

Stress test behaviours like features

Value

Does it reduce risk & improve outcomes?

Viability

Does our business situation allow this?

Usability

Is it easier than the shortcut?

Feasibility

Do we have what it takes to do this?

The lens failed tells you what to fix

Value

Behaviour happens. Outcomes don't

→ Review if the outcome is important

Viability

Business situation doesn't allow it

→ Make bold subtractions.

Usability

The path exists; just difficult.

→ Redesign the Path; Remove Friction

Feasibility

we literally don't have the capability

→ Invest in the Tooling, Frameworks.

We are here to Build

"Block your calendar DeepWork. Decline all meetings."

Value:

✓ Deep work produces what meetings never can.

Viability

✗ Death by a thousand reasonable exceptions.

Usability

✓ Calendar block is simple.

Feasibility

✓ Anyone can block a calendar day.

Meeting Observability - Async before Sync

We know it before the customer does.

Instrument so we recover from the customer issues in 10 minutes.

Value

✓ Quicker TTR - Lesser frustration

Viability

✗ Can't survive slow time to market

Usability

✗ Takes iterations to get it right

Feasibility

✗ No tooling. No skills & No time

- Made Observability THE priority for platform for a quarter
- Accepted that we only can focus on Critical Journeys

Same principles. Different weight.

	Built to be maintained	Done beats perfect
Core team Existing proposition. Real customers.	Stable product as one bad deploy is revenue loss.	Move & learn fast but in a confined blast radius behind flags
Frontier No customers yet. Might not survive the quarter	Any % hardening tax that may not exist in 3 months is a waste	Moving fast is the point Cost of breaking is near zero.

Two principles. Three Teams. Four Lenses

01 Define

What does living the principles actually look like for your team right now?

02 Stress Test

Run the answers through the 4 lenses

03 Action Plan

Systemic & Team level Commitments with clear Owners

Be Ready for the hard answers & Measure

Culture evolves. Design for it.

Principle past its expiry is its own kind of shadow culture.

Sometimes change the principles.

What mattered at 10 engineers breaks at 100.

Sometimes change the behaviours.

The behaviour needs to catch up.

Evolve the systems to support

So, Why Principles fail under load?

Good Intentions alone isn't enough

We need to build a SYSTEM

Building that SYSTEM is OUR JOB.