"Zero Waste" Engineering Practices



Suzanne Livingston IBM Sustainability Software Vice President Engineering







100+ Years Investments in Research & Engineering





1880s Punchcards









1952 UPC Code

1969 Magnetic Stripe



1981 Laser Surgery



2019 Quantum



Today's IBM (a sampling)





Maximo

Red Hat





QRadar





System Z

Watson





"We can't build a Tesla on a



Chapter 1 Clarity on what we are building

- Product alignment
- What are the top things we should be building?

▶2

- Backlog transparency
- What is each squad working on right now and next?

▶3

- Clarifying requirements
- Stakeholder expectation vs developer reality

▶4

- Discipline in our practices
- Reviewed?
- Automated?
- Tested?
- Accepted?





CLARIFY REQUIREMENTS

Epic ownership

- Joint ownership PM, Dev, Design
- Understanding requirement
- Create technical design and docs
- Write and refine stories
- Form story acceptance criteria

Formally define

- Definition of ready
- Definition of done
- Roles & Responsibilities as a developer
- Roles & Responsibilities as a reviewer
- Quality, security & automation included



Status category 🗸

Versions 🗸

Label

Components

Type

	EP	OCT	NOV		
	Spri Roadmap- Spr	int Roadmap- Sprin R	toadmap- Sprint	173	
	2022.3.2	2022.3.4			
iging the storage structure for draft item				<i>P</i>	
Business Impact builder migration				9	
ency management					
of Issue (UOI) management					
IO A&C Phase 1: Data Dictionary					
es List for List fields					
tory ABI lookups					
flow - Preventing WF dropout after a ref				9	
IO Product Renaming					
c filter availability for managing work que		Ċ			
les and Options management		Ċ			



Retrospectives & Continuous Improvement

What did we learn?

- Improved estimates in story points
- Backlog refinement helped the whole team to understand the coming work

What do we need to improve?

- Story writing needed improvement
- Epic refinement enabled us to see the gaps
- Average number of tickets created is higher than previous sprint, as an indicator of quality

	1
	2
	Ζ.
	_
	1.
	2
	∠.

What we are doing well in	
Team coordination is great, e.g devops squad is very responsive to resolve issues.	
What we need improve continuously	Suggested Actions
what we need improve continuously	Juggesteu Actions
Although we reviewed any stop-ship feature altogether when we start working on Machine, couple requirements came late into the game with high attention such as FIPS, eSig for Mobile etc.	 Identify the stop ship feature with Product manager and circle back to the broader team in the beginning of
Early program need more close collaboration especially from PM side	 Calibis looking beta program for Hand, Parit and Hardspecifically. Introduction is under the scope in 8 It has dependency on Nation core, It has dependency on Nation core, This early program should be a check item when we do the new release planning (as requirement).



Why do this



Visibility

See outliers and investigate their cause to reduce them in the future.



Efficiency Decreasing rolling average

indicates process improvements and increased throughput.



Predictability Narrow standard deviation through process improvements to improve predictability of cycle time.

Chapter 2 Automation



Decrease in keep-the-lightson activities



Development time savings from automation



Fewer security vulnerabilities with security automation





Automation from scratch





Automation Payoff



Total bugs 200, Application bugs: 94



CONTINUOUS ENGINEERING

Mindset shift - A feature is not just code

- Understand the big picture persona, usage scenarios
- Ask questions of how what we are building is serving the consumer/stakeholder/user

Development practice shift

- Test driven development
- Functional and technical design at every level
- Dev and test being one
- Squads oversee writing their own tests and deployment out to production

Smaller changes produce higher quality

- Reviewers/Committers refuse large PRs
- Shift as much of the security/compliance as left as possible i.e. Scan and report compliance on every PR & Merge build









Chapter 3 Visibility

How much time is being spent on what types of stories in each development cycle

Field feedback 4% Technical Debt 5% Support 5%

Development Process 31%



New Feature Development 55%

14

Chapter 3 Visibility

Cat Dev Process : CICD Cat Dev Process : Dev Hygiene Cat Dev Process : Release & Regression Cat Dev Process : Security Cat Dev Process : Upskilling Cat Field Activity : Customer Reported Cat Field Activity : Maint Rel Cat New Feature : Internal Bug Cat New Feature : New Capability Cat New Feature : Research Cat New Feature : Upstream Dep Cat Tech Debt : Capability Parity Cat Tech Debt : Suite Currency

▶1

Planned <= Completed creates a healthier work environment

▶2

Smaller, well-defined stories completed on time





END-OF-SPRINT REVIEW

- Demos of key changes
- How did our estimations hold up? If we were off, what got in our way? Could we have prevented distractions?
- What areas of concern or potential problem areas are being identified?
- What can we do better/differently to improve?
- What best practices have we used for areas that we're doing well in?

Planned vs Comp Click to return to Table of Years End date Row Labels -w Assist Civil Common Services EDC Health Hyperscalers Inventory Counting Inventory Issue and Trans Maintenance Manager Manage Foundations Mobile Approvals Mobile Asset Manager Mobile Foundations A A LAND ON THE OWNER INDEX Def \equiv

let	ed By Tean	n										
Co	ntents											
					Years .	End da	te .T					1
20	23 🖵											
(N	lultiple Item 🖓	* 76% of Teams Report	ng							M	AS 8.	11 P
Su	m of Planned	Sum of Completed			1600							
	4	5	j									
	753	899)		1400							
	512.5	331										
	250	230)		1200							
	114	118.8	3									
	88	44	L .		1000							
	291	252			1000							
1	121	66	;									
	471	439)		800							
	1355	1221										
	265	469)		600							-
	253	238	3				- A.			_		
	1281	1225	;		400	Pi	votChart					_
					1							
ne	Team CSV	all Sum Al Field	Cat-Tea	Tea-Cat	ALL nte	Due()	$P_{VC}(a)$	PucC (m)	Debug			
nc	icani Cov_	an Sun Ar Field	cat-red	ica-Cat	ALL PIS	FV3C	rvsc (a)	F V3C (III)	Debug	+		





Chapter 4 Operations

/IBM-engineering-for-sustainability

▶80%

Average amount unused in overallocated infrastructure for seasonality

Environmental impact practices

How IBM designs software products today has a growing impact on the carbon footprint in data centers across the world. New techniques in large-scale computing and data use a significant amount of energy.

Software development

The typical software development process has changed drastically over the years, from longer delivery cycles of monolithic products to a continuous integration and continuous delivery (CICD) approach that is focused on finer-grained services, called microservices. However, the combination of these services still requires a level of architecture specification for their integrated operation.

Software architecture

Well-architected software products meet design requirements while minimizing the overall infrastructure usage that corresponds to energy and carbon footprint. The software architecture process prioritizes the tradeoffs between sustainability and optimization requirements, which can range from speed-to-market, cost of engineering, user experience, availability, social impact, and sustainability. These requirements often conflict with each other. For example, you can improve availability through redundancy, but that can negatively impact energy usage. Also, you can improve speed-to-market but sacrifice usability or sustainability. Some conflicts can be improved or resolved by increasing the cost of engineering.

Considering the carbon footprint of running the software is a crucial part of designing and architecting it for environmental sustainability. Software architecture must be guided by a few key principles that affect carbon footprint.

110 TW

The <u>Cambridge Center for</u> <u>Alternative Finance</u> estimated that bitcoin alone consumes around 110 terawatt hours per year, about the same energy usage as the entire country of Sweden.

17

In Summary



Alignment

Avg Issue dropped 25 to 5 days

Predictability improved 1 Month+ to 1 Sprint



Automation

50% time back to invest

10% fewer KTLO activities

80% security improvement



Visibility

Estimation improvement

Transparent communication

Efficient prioritization

Continuously hunt & reduce waste



R